



#### **Complex systems approaches for climate data analysis**

**Reik V. Donner** 





# **Climate: A conceptual view**







# **Climate data: Major issues**

non-stationarity: multiple regimes (e.g., glacial vs. interglacial climate), tipping elements

nonlinearity: complex interrelationships between subsystems, variables, regions, scales; feedbacks

multi-scale variability: different climate subsystems with distinct time scales of variability

internal dynamics vs. external forcings (solar/orbital variations, volcanism, anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions)





3

# Why time series analysis?

- generalization of simple one-point statistics to sequences captures information about dynamics of the observed system
- interdependences between observations made at different times
  - existence?
  - type (linear, nonlinear)?
  - strength and direction?
  - characteristic time scales?
  - continuous or variable?
- $\Rightarrow$  characterization/identification of underlying processes

Different approaches to time series analysis:

- statistics (linear correlation analysis)
- econometrics (stochastic models based on regression analysis)
- theoretical physics (dynamical systems concepts)





# **Exploring the complex systems nexus**

**Applied statistics** 

Linear time series analysis, moments, trends, extremes, EOF analysis,... **Dynamical systems** 

Bifurcations, tipping points, phase space concept,...

Data mining

Automated learning, pattern recognition,...

Information theory

Binary data representation, entropy and information, statistical mechanics





# **Exploring the complex systems nexus**

**Applied statistics** 

Linear time series analysis, moments, trends, extremes, EOF analysis,...

Data mining

Automated learning, pattern recognition,...

**Dynamical systems** 

Bifurcations, tipping points, phase space concept,...

Nonlinear methods

Information theory

Binary data representation, entropy and information, statistical mechanics





# **Testing for nonlinearity in time series**

Classical (linear) time series analysis captures many important (statistical) properties of data (correlations, power spectra), but:

- relies on the assumption of linear-stochastic processes
- whereas nature is most often nonlinear

Linear statistics cannot distinguish between qualitatively different types of dynamics and is therefore not suitable for fully characterizing nonlinear dynamics!





# **Testing for nonlinearity in time series**

#### Example: Which process is linear, which one nonlinear?





'SY<sub>CC<sup>2</sup></sub>

# **Testing for nonlinearity in time series**

#### Example: Which process is linear, which one nonlinear?





 $^{\prime}SY_{CC^{2}}$ 

# **Assessing nonlinear interrelationships**





# **Information-theoretic methods**

Entropy 2013, 15, 4844-4888; doi:10.3390/e15114844



**OPEN ACCESS** 

www.mdpi.com/journal/entropy

Review

### Statistical Mechanics and Information-Theoretic Perspectives on Complexity in the Earth System

Georgios Balasis <sup>1,\*</sup>, Reik V. Donner <sup>2,3</sup>, Stelios M. Potirakis <sup>4</sup>, Jakob Runge <sup>2,5</sup>, Constantinos Papadimitriou <sup>1,6</sup>, Ioannis A. Daglis <sup>1,6,†</sup>, Konstantinos Eftaxias <sup>7</sup> and Jürgen Kurths <sup>2,5,8</sup>





# **Nonlinear statistical interdependencies**

#### linear Pearson correlation: no information on general statistical associations!



## **Mutual information**

Basic idea: quantification of contingency table of symbol (joint vs. marginal probabilities)



# Symbolic time series analysis

More general: transform time series into discretized representation using abstract symbols *a* from discrete (finite) alphabet *A* 

 $\Rightarrow$  allows computation of different information-theoretic quantities:

symbolic correlation function

$$C_{XY}(\tau) = \sum_{a \in A} P_{aa}^{XY}(\tau)$$

mutual information (measure for general statistical association)

$$I_{XY}(\tau) = \sum_{a,b\in A} P_{ab}^{XY}(\tau) \log_2 \frac{P_{ab}^{XY}(\tau)}{P_a^X P_b^Y}$$



# Identification of causality from time series

**Classical approach: linear Granger causality** 

1. Build linear regression models (bivariate AR models)

$$egin{aligned} X_1(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^p A_{11,j} X_1(t-j) + \sum_{j=1}^p A_{12,j} X_2(t-j) + E_1(t) \ X_2(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^p A_{21,j} X_1(t-j) + \sum_{j=1}^p A_{22,j} X_2(t-j) + E_2(t) \end{aligned}$$



- 2. Compare variance of error term  $E_1(E_2)$  with and without inclusion of  $X_2(X_1)$  in the first (second) equation
  - If additional term for  $X_2$  in equation for  $X_1$  reduces error:  $X_2$  Granger-causes  $X_1$
  - If additional term for X<sub>1</sub> in equation for X<sub>2</sub> reduces error: X<sub>1</sub> Granger-causes X<sub>2</sub>
  - Practical: are A<sub>12,j</sub> (A<sub>21,j</sub>) significantly different from 0 (e.g., via F test)?

# Identification of causality from time series

Multivariate problem: given n>2 variables  $X_k$ ,

 $X_i$  Granger-causes  $X_j$  if lagged observations of  $X_i$  help in prediction  $X_j$  when lagged observations of all other variables  $X_k$  are taken into account (cf. partial correlations)  $\Rightarrow$  Multivariate (conditional) Granger causality

Problems: linearity, stationarity, unobserved variables are not considered

Many extensions:

- Nonlinear Granger causality
- Spectral Granger causality (fraction of total spectral power of X<sub>1</sub> at a given frequency f that is provided by X<sub>2</sub>)
- Transfer entropy: conditional mutual information taking lags into account



# **Assessing multi-scale dynamics**



# **EOF** analysis

Original motivation: extract dominating variations from spatio-temporal fields of climate observations records

#### Linear PCA:

Diagonalization of lag-zero covariance matrix C of multivariate time series (matrix X)

$$C = X^T X$$
 with  $C = U^T \Sigma U$  and  $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1^2, ..., \sigma_N^2)$ 

- Compute correlation matrix of all variables
- Estimate eigenvalues and eigenvectors
- Eigenvectors: additive decomposition into principal components (weighted superpositions of original variables) with individual variances corresponding to associated eigenvalues
- $\Rightarrow$  spatial EOF patterns + index/score time series describing magnitude and sign of individual EOF modes







# **Singular spectrum analysis**

Replace individual data "channels" by time-lagged versions of the same time series  $\Rightarrow$  Identification of distinct temporal variability patterns

#### Mathematical roots: time-delay embedding

- ⇒ Attractors of dissipative deterministic dynamical systems can be approximated from univariate time series by using "independent coordinates" in terms of time-shifted replications of the original data
- $\Rightarrow$  Standard tool in nonlinear time series analysis

**Relevant information:** 

- How many "dimensions" (variables) need to be used to model the observed dynamics? (number of relevant singular values)
- What are the associated relevant variability patterns (associated singular vectors)





# **Singular spectrum analysis**

#### Example: Relevant dimensions of ENSO activity (Ghil et al., 2001)



**Figure 2.** Variations of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) between January 1942 and June 1999. Time on the abscissa is in calendar years, and SOI on the ordinate is normalized by its standard deviation.





# **Wavelet analysis**

Widely applicable tool: wavelet analysis

- Straightforward generalization of classical spectral analysis: convolution of signal with scalable localized oscillatory function (mother wavelet)
  - Example: Morlet wavelet sinusoidal with Gaussian envelope



- Discrete wavelet analysis: additive decomposition of time series into components in logarithmically spaced frequency bands
- Continuous wavelet analysis: filter with central frequency at any desired periodicity





21

## Wavelet analysis

**Example: wavelet spectrogram of Nino3.4 index** (Maraun, PhD thesis, 2006)





 $^{\prime}SY_{CC^{2}}$ 

# **Synchronization analysis**

Mutual alignment of two or more (not necessarily regular) oscillatory signals

First described by Huygens in 1673 (synchronization of two pendulum clocks hanging on the same wooden beam)



Various types: complete, generalized, phase, lag, event synchronization





# **Phase synchronization**

**Classical approach for detecting phase synchronization:** 

1. Define phase variable from data (Hilbert or wavelet transformation, stroboscopic mapping,...)

$$\psi(t) = s(t) + j\tilde{s}(t) = A(t)e^{j\phi(t)} \quad \tilde{s}(t) = \pi^{-1} \text{P.V.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{s(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau$$

- 2. Compute time series of phase differences between two phase time series
- 3. Wrap the phase differences to the interval  $[0,2\pi]$
- 4. Compute suitable statistics on wrapped phase differences (Rayleigh measure, standard deviation, Shannon entropy)

Alternative to 2-4: compute mutual information between phase time series (Palus 1997)





24

# **Phase synchronization**

Example. Phase coherence between ENSO and Indian monsoon (Maraun & Kurths, GRL, 2005)





/SY<sub>CC<sup>2</sup></sub>

# **Cross-scale interactions**

Idea (Palus, PRL, 2014): combine

- continuous wavelet analysis,
- phase synchronization analysis (decomposition into amplitude and phase variables) and
- Nonlinear interdependencies (mutual information)
- ⇒ Unveil cross-scale phase-amplitude (phase-phase, amplitude-amplitude) coupling in observed time series





26

## **Cross-scale interactions**

**Example: four long-term air temperature records from Central Europe** (Palus, PRL, 2014)



K

Ρ 



## **Event synchronization**

Common approach from neurosciences (spike train analysis from EEG recordings, Quian Quiroga et al., PRE, 2002) - normalized fraction of temporally close extremes observed at different spatial locations (EEG electrodes, climate data grid point)



Various (even more concepts) further extending this approach (e.g., spike train synchrony), commonly tailored to specific features of neuronal spike trains





28

## **Event synchronization**





 $^{\prime}SY_{CC^{2}}$ 

# **Event synchronization: Definition**

$$\theta_{lm}^{jk} = \min\left\{t_{l+1}^{j} - t_{l}^{j}, t_{l}^{j} - t_{l-1}^{j}, t_{m+1}^{k} - t_{m}^{k}, t_{m}^{k} - t_{m-1}^{k}\right\}/2$$

$$c(j|k) = \sum_{l=1}^{s_{j}} \sum_{m=1}^{s_{k}} J_{lm}^{jk}$$

with

$$J_{lm}^{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad \tau'^{jk} < t_l^{j} - t_m^k < \tau^{jk} \\ 1/2 & \text{if} \quad t_l^{j} - t_m^k = \tau'^{jk} \\ 0 & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{jk} = \frac{c(j|k) + c(k|j)}{\sqrt{(s_j s_k)}}$$

**Disadvantages:** 

- adaptive "local" definition of event proximity, tailored to events with approximately constant spacing in time - requires de-clustering in case of temporally close events
- no analytics, significance testing at most via Monte Carlo methods





## **Event coincidence analysis**

- Take one of the series as reference and count number of cases in which at least one event in the other series occurs within in given time window relative to the timing of the reference event
- $\Rightarrow$  Asymmetric property (potential for establishing directionality statements)
- $\Rightarrow$  Distinction between "trigger" and "precursor" tests, simple significance tests



# Example: Remote sensing – FPAR vs. ET extremes

Sign of Anomalies		Index	SM Regime	Status
Т-	FPAR/ET-	VAC <sub>a</sub>	energy-limited	wettening, atmospheric control
T+	FPAR/ET+	VACb	energy-limited	drying, atmospheric control
T+	FPAR/ET-	VAC <sub>c</sub>	transitional	drying, land/vegetation control
Τ-	FPAR/ET+	VAC <sub>d</sub>	transitional	wettening, land/vegetation control



Zscheischler et al., GRL, 2015





Reik V. Donner, reik.donner@pik-potsdam.de

# Take home messages

- Climate data exhibit complex variability features
- Classical time series analysis methods are not capable of unveiling these features
- Nonlinearity: interdependencies maybe overlooked by linear analyses, use nonlinear similarity measures like mutual information instead
- Multi-scale variability: time-scale decomposition to identify relevant scales, study interdependence between (oscillatory) components at different scales
- Event based interdependency measures: relevance for extreme event and (discrete) impact studies





33